

# ***Development of Secular Instability in Different Disc Models of Black Hole Accretion***

**Sankhasubhra Nag**

sankhasubhra\_nag@yahoo.co.in

Department of Physics

Sarojini Naidu College for Women

DumDum, Kolkata

*The work has been done in collaboration with*

*Ishita Maity, Louisiana State University, USA, B. Deepika Ananda, IISER, Pune, India*

*and Tapas K. Das, HRI, Allahabad, India*

- There are observational evidences in support of black hole accretion discs in AGN scheme.
- Though such astrophysical accretion process is expected to be highly violent and turbulent one, at large length scale it is assumed to be stationary.
- But the stability of such a stationary flow is a requirement for formation of such a disc.
- In the present work the stability of the accretion disc is investigated in quasi-viscous regime under pseudo-potential scheme.

# ***Black Hole Accretion***

---

- Accreting black holes as the central engines are widely accepted model of Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN).
- The continuous stream of infalling matter with angular momentum forms a disc around a compact object, a black in this case.
- There are different proposed models of disc structures available in the literature.[e.g. ref 1]
- The matter falling into the black hole must start from large distance with very little radial velocity and must arrive at the event horizon with supersonic speed – the accretion flow must be transonic.

# *General Assumptions*

---

In an analytical or semi-analytical treatment few assumptions are made, such as:

**Hydrodynamic** Though the matter becomes ionised at that extreme condition where MHD treatment is more realistic, due to analytical convenience Hydrodynamic calculations are used to find rough estimates of flow characteristics.

**Non-self-gravitating** As the density of the accreted matter is very very small, the gravitational effect of the matter on itself is being neglected.

**Small Viscosity** We are investigating the low angular momentum regime of the accreted matter, where very little viscous effect may be expected to be present.

# *Stationary Flow*

---

It is generally accepted that the accretion flow eventually reach stationary configuration.

But for that to happen a necessary condition is: the stationary configuration must be stable under a time dependent perturbation.

A number of authors within last decade or so analytically proved that this condition is obeyed at least in inviscid flow.[e.g. ref 1. and references therein]

But recently a couple of works demonstrated that this condition is violated with the emergence of slightest amount of viscosity introduced in quasi-viscous form. Calculations were done there in pseudo-potential framework.[ref 2]

Instead of using general relativistic framework, which is not easy to do in this case, we used pseudo-Newtonian framework. where,

1. Newtonian force law or potential formulation is taken to be valid.
2. But the potential  $\phi$  is tinkered in such a way that it may mimic the actual motion in curved space-time.
3. Here we used pseudo-potential  $\phi$  for non-rotating black hole.

The governing flow equations in a disc geometry are continuity equation,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Sigma) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\Sigma v r) = 0,$$

where  $\Sigma$  denotes mass per unit area of the disc,  $v$  denotes radial inward velocity along with Euler equations in radial direction and in azimuthal direction.

The azimuthal equation may be expressed as

$$\rho H \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(r^2 \Omega) + \rho v H \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r^2 \Omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \left( \frac{\partial G}{\partial r} \right),$$

where,  $G = 2\pi \nu \Sigma r^3 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r}$  is the viscous torque with  $\nu$  as kinematic viscosity.

# Quasi-viscous Approximation

The radial equation takes the form

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial r} + \Phi'(r) - \frac{\lambda_{eff}^2}{r^3} = 0,$$

where,

$$\lambda_{eff} = r^2 \Omega = \lambda_0 + \alpha r^2 \tilde{\Omega}(c_s, v, r),$$

for  $\alpha = \nu c_s H$ .  $H$  varies from one disc model to another,  $c_s$  being the sound speed.

Now, as  $\alpha \ll 1$ , it can be approximated as

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial r} + \Phi'(r) - \frac{\lambda_0^2}{r^3} - \frac{2\alpha\lambda_0 F(c_s, v, r)}{r^3} = 0$$



# Stationary Equations

Stationary condition means  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = 0$

Thus the radial equation becomes,

$$v \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial r} + \Phi'(r) - \frac{\lambda_0^2}{r^3} - \frac{2\alpha\lambda_0 F}{r^3} = 0$$

and from the continuity equation,

$$f \equiv \rho v r H, \text{ constant over } r.$$

Thus the effect of viscosity turns out to be a perturbation, linear in viscosity parameter  $\alpha$ , on the inviscid case i.e. when  $\alpha = 0$ .

# *Time Dependent Perturbation*

---

Consider small time dependent perturbation over the stationary solution of the previous equation as,

$$v(r, t) = v_0(r) + \tilde{v}(r, t)$$

$$\rho(r, t) = \rho_0(r) + \tilde{\rho}(r, t)$$

$$f(r, t) = f_0(r) + \tilde{f}(r, t)$$

$$F(r, t) = F_0(r) + \tilde{F}(r, t)$$

Put these variables into the general time dependent equation to find the differential equation regarding the time evolution of the perturbing terms.

# Time Evolution of Perturbations

Retaining the the linear perturbing terms only one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \partial t \left[ \frac{v_0}{f_0} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial t} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \frac{v_0^2}{f_0} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial r} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ \frac{v_0^2}{f_0} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial t} \right] \\ + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ \frac{v_0}{f_0} \left( v_0^2 - \frac{c_{s0}^2}{1 + \epsilon} \right) \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial r} \right] - \frac{2\alpha \lambda_0^2}{r^3} \frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial t} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\epsilon$  is a disc model dependent parameter and

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial t} = \frac{c_{s0}}{\rho_0 v_0 r^2} \frac{(1 + \gamma + 4\epsilon)}{(1 + \epsilon)} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial r} + 2 \int \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{c_{s0}}{\rho_0 v_0^2 r^2} \right) \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial t} dr$$

where the polytropic equation of state is given by  $P = K \rho^\gamma$

# *Different Disc Models*

Three disc models are generally available in the literature [ref. 3]:

## **Vertical Equilibrium**

$$H = c_s \sqrt{\frac{r}{\gamma \phi'}}, \text{ hence } \epsilon = (\gamma - 1)/2.$$

## **Constant Height**

$$H = \text{constant}, \text{ hence } \epsilon = 0$$

## **Conical Flow**

$$H = \Theta r, \text{ hence } \epsilon = 0$$

# Stationary Wave Solution

For stationary wave solution

$$\tilde{f}(r, t) = g_\omega(r)e^{-i\omega t}$$

Upon integrating we get finally,

$$A\omega^2 + B\omega + C = 0$$

$$A = \int v_0 g_\omega^2 dr \quad B = -4i\alpha\lambda_0^2 \int \frac{g_\omega f_0}{r^3} \left[ \int g_\omega \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{c_{s0}}{\rho_0 v_0^2 r^2} \right) dr \right]$$

$$C = \int v_0 \left( v_0^2 - \frac{c_{s0}^2}{1 + \varepsilon} \right) \left( \frac{dg_\omega}{dr} \right)^2 dr \\ + 2\alpha\lambda_0^2 \int \frac{c_{s0} f_0}{\rho_0 v_0 r^5} \left( \frac{1 + \gamma + 4\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} \right) g_\omega \frac{dg_\omega}{dr} dr$$

# Asymptotic Behaviour

It turns out,

$$\operatorname{Re}(-i\omega) \sim \alpha \xi(r)$$

where

For Constant Height disc

$$\xi(r) \sim r^{-2}$$

For Conical Flow disc,

$$\xi(r) \sim r^1$$

For Vertical Equilibrium disc,

$$\xi(r) \sim r^{5/2}$$

# Conclusions

---

- Other than Constant Height model, for two other models the perturbation grows asymptotically making the disc unstable.
- But the source term of instability is proportional to viscosity parameter  $\alpha$ .
- Hence for a sufficiently low value of  $\alpha$  the perturbation grows in a very large time scale.
- This may make the disc effectively stable in Astrophysical perspective

# References

---

1. Nag S., Acharya S., Ray A. K. and Das T.K. *New Astron.*, 17:285, 2011.
2. Bhattacharjee, J.K., Bhattacharya A., Das T.K., and Ray A.K. *MNRAS*, 398:841, 2009.
3. Bilic, N., Choudhury A., Das T.K. and Nag, S. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 31:035002, 2013.